

Please Enter  
03/01/06



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Michael K. Just  
Serial No.: 09/536,053  
Filing Date: March 27, 2000  
Confirmation No.: 5651

Examiner: Kambiz Zand  
Art Unit: 2132  
Our File No.: 10500.00.2151  
Docket No.: 0500.9912151

**Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING INFORMATION  
SECURITY TO PREVENT DIGITAL SIGNATURE FORGERY**

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Certificate of First Class Mailing*  
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the  
United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope  
addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P. O.  
Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this date.

2-14-06  
Date

*Christine Wright*  
Christine Wright

**RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed November 21, 2005, Applicant responds as follows:

**REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 40-44 have been allowed and that claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 19, 28 and 37 would be allowed if written in independent form.

Remaining claims 1-7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20-25 and 29-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. in view of Schmeidler et al. and further in view of Bisbee et al. In the "Response to Arguments" of the final action, there does not appear to be any response to Applicant's arguments with respect to the Chan reference nor to the requested showings requested by Applicant in the previous responses. For example, the cited portion of